

Judiciary Committee Testimony for public hearing on 03/11/2015

RE: **SB-650** (An act concerning temporary restraining orders) and

HB6848 (An act protecting victims of domestic violence)

I oppose both of these bills due to my belief that they violate due process by allowing the removal of private property without a hearing. A better way to handle this would be to streamline the hearing process so that it could be performed in a timely fashion.

My other objection is that they seem to have inadequate specifics about the judicial behavior to be expected when a temporary restraining order does not result in the issuance of a longer term order. It seems to me that any law of this type should be specific about what happens to citizens' property when they are found not to be a threat to the person that applied for the temporary restraining order.

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.

Robert Starr
128 Millard Street
Torrington, CT 06790