

Louis Fusco Landscape Architects

Tel.:

914.764.9123

P.O. Box 362, Pound Ridge, New York 10576

lfusco@louisfusco.com

February 13, 2015

Senator Carlo Leone, Co-Chairman
Representative David Baram, Co-Chairman
Members of the General Law Committee

Re: SB-158, An Act Concerning Landscape Architect Licenses

I am a Landscape Architect licensed and practicing in Ct., NY and Maryland and would like to add my opposition to SB-158, An Act Concerning Landscape Architect Licenses. While my primary office is in Pound Ridge NY, bordering Fairfield County, CT. the majority of our practices current projects are in the State of Ct.

Landscape architects are entrusted through licensure to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. I am concerned that the proposed bill as presently written appears to have missed this point and would greatly weaken the licensure standard for landscape architects in Connecticut by:

- 1) Potentially eliminating the requirement for the nationally accepted LARE exam, a four-part rigorous exam which 50 states require to gain licensure.
- 2) Reducing the technical training required. Currently, licensure applicants must acquire a degree from a college program accredited by the Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board (LAAB), such as UConn's landscape architecture program. The proposed bill says this training is unimportant and can be replaced with any "bachelor's degree" and three years training. Who will ultimately assess if the education an applicant received is adequate? Or the training is relevant?

The current law makes an exception for the person who does not acquire LAAB-accredited education by requiring eight years of experience under the direction of a licensed landscape architect before they can sit for the exam. I believe the state's current licensing law sets an appropriate standard in this area and should not be weakened.

Education, experience, and examination are the core principles of licensure and demonstrate to the state that a person is minimally competent to uphold the public's interest in the practice of landscape architecture, a complex mix of site planning services which involves grading, erosion control, plantings, hardscapes, lighting, storm water management, traffic and pedestrian flow, and protection of natural resources such as wetlands, soils, and wildlife.

Our present strong licensure standard is well respected by peer professionals and government officials, who trust that our work will follow local, state and federal regulations and adequately protect the public health, safety and welfare in the design of public and private outdoor spaces.

If this proposed change is enacted it will risk my ability to practice in Connecticut and in other jurisdictions, since a weakened license standard here will likely make me ineligible for reciprocity in other states.

For these reasons, I urge you to also oppose SB-158.

Sincerely,

Louis Fusco RLA