



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 554

February Session, 2014

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 20

House of Representatives, April 15, 2014

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. FOX, G. of the 146th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 22910 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the
3 claim against the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Anthony
4 Allen, is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 22955 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
7 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Eddie Berrios, is
8 confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
10 22464 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
11 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Chandra Bozelko, is
12 confirmed.

13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 22803 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
15 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Velmon Braswell, is
16 confirmed.

17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
18 22446 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
19 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Marc Coney, is
20 confirmed.

21 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
22 23345 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
23 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Brandon Garcia, is
24 confirmed.

25 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
26 22648 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
27 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Peter Giacomazzi, is
28 confirmed.

29 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 22715 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
31 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Jason P. Gulino, is
32 confirmed.

33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
34 21974 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
35 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Anthony Hargrove, is
36 confirmed.

37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
38 22664 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
39 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Harnage, is
40 confirmed.

41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
42 22665 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
43 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Harnage, is

44 confirmed.

45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
46 22693 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
47 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Harnage, is
48 confirmed.

49 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
50 22963 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
51 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Harnage, is
52 confirmed.

53 Sec. 14. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
54 22964 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
55 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Harnage, is
56 confirmed.

57 Sec. 15. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
58 23275 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
59 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Gary Hatstat, is
60 confirmed.

61 Sec. 16. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
62 22732 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
63 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Dean B. Holliday, is
64 confirmed.

65 Sec. 17. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
66 23009 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
67 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Michael Holman, is
68 confirmed.

69 Sec. 18. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
70 21842 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
71 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Kevin Klemonski
72 a/k/a Brooklyn Macellaio, is confirmed.

73 Sec. 19. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number

74 22734 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
75 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Mitchell, is
76 confirmed.

77 Sec. 20. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
78 22735 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
79 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James Mitchell, is
80 confirmed.

81 Sec. 21. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
82 22975 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
83 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Deborah Norton, is
84 confirmed.

85 Sec. 22. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
86 22748 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
87 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Richard Rogue, is
88 confirmed.

89 Sec. 23. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
90 22880 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
91 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Gary Sadler, is
92 confirmed.

93 Sec. 24. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
94 22847 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
95 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Lloyd Satchwell, is
96 confirmed.

97 Sec. 25. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
98 22574 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
99 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Umar Shahid, is
100 confirmed.

101 Sec. 26. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
102 22871 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
103 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Umar Shahid, is
104 confirmed.

105 Sec. 27. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
106 22615 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
107 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Steven Thomas, is
108 confirmed.

109 Sec. 28. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
110 23233 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
111 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of the estate of Tyrone O.
112 Tillman, is confirmed.

113 Sec. 29. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
114 22483 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
115 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Antuan White, is
116 confirmed.

117 Sec. 30. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
118 23141 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
119 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Stanley Williams, is
120 confirmed.

121 Sec. 31. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
122 23397 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
123 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of James P. Wood, is
124 confirmed.

125 Sec. 32. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
126 22900 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
127 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Kevin M. Ziolkovski,
128 is confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The resolution would result in no fiscal impact to the state. The resolution accepts the recommendation of the Claims Commissioner that no awards be granted on various claims against the state and that permission to sue in all cases be denied.

The Out Years

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 20

RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

SUMMARY:

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 36 Nay 0 (03/28/2014)