I am Gregg Marchand and I oppose .Bill. No. 1076 (RAISED) AN ACT CONCERNING THE REDUCTION OF GUN VIOLENCE making all those guns listed against the law. Is ridiculous it's not the legal gun owners that use those guns for a crime it's criminals that use them. In the state of Washington the city of Seattle had a buy guns from gun owners weekend and the last time this was done was back in 1994 and it didn't bring the percentage of gun violence down. Calling these guns assault weapons is an anti - gun term and should never be used. If you limit ten bullets to a magazine most 9mm handguns will all be illegal. This law will make law - abiding citizens, criminals. Showing this proposed law is without any doubt a fascist thought process.

Actions from a criminal, law abiding gun owners should not pay. For an example; a person went through a crowd in a pickup truck now law makers are going to propose a bill to take all pickup trucks off the road. 'Does this sound silly? Of course it does just as this bill no.1076 . Last year there was a proposed bill to limit all guns to a ten bullet limit. It's almost seems the Sandy Hook tragedy is convenient to anti - gun lawmakers.

At first when the supposed elquida did the 9-11 thing the U.S. government was trying to ban all 50 caliber weapons in the U.S. on the grounds that's the rifle the elquida uses. [a lame excuse] This Proposed Bill No.1076 maybe trampling on a Federal civil liberty, this being true it cannot become a law or have change at state level. This makes me think the country Kosovo, their government took their firearms then raped and pillaged. I don't feel like getting raped and pillaged by my government. The second amendment states, "the right to bear arms to forma militia to protect ourselves from government over throw, to protect our families and properties,." When our government wants to over throw the citizens if they know people have guns by the registering of them, the ability to protect ones' self will be daunted.

The Bill of Rights, when adopted, was for the protection of the individual against the federal government. First 10 amendments were proposed and adopted largely because of fear that Government might unduly interfere with prized individual liberties. This bill is another way to break the backbone of our civil rights and liberties. Stop trespassing on law - abiding citizens and what they keep in their houses, focus on criminals on our streets and the weapons they may have.

Protection firearms provide isn't just for police or criminals it's mainly for us the United States citizen. Bill No.1076 would be against our fourth amendment which partially is; 'The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and **effects** ETC. Also the A.T.F. will have cause to come into houses and search to make sure the count of firearms is correct according to the house holds gun registry?

Why is it lawmakers keep trying to make laws that stomp on our rights and freedoms to choose? This bill is a failure of freedoms and welcoming fascism. Enough is enough!

Meaning regular citizens protecting themselves should have all the rounds in the gun possible to be sure to hit the criminal to be sure and be able to protect oneself on the grounds of most of us not professional shooters. Remember the police in n\N.Y. the first three shots the police missed the culprit and two of the first three shots hit bystanders. Another words people of the U.S. we should never be limited on the bullets our guns hold. Especially when a criminal had stolen guns and went on a killing rampage should have nothing to do with law abiding gun owners. Yet lawmakers are making it seem so. All acts with public safety should without any doubt include random drug testing for all armed police that have power of arrest.