

Please be advised that I take exception to raised bill number 1076. This bill has nothing to do with Sandy Hook which was a criminal offense that to this date has not been fully investigated. This bill steps on my United States Constitutional 2nd amendment rights as well as my Connecticut rights to bear arms.

First, the "AWB" in whole does not make sense to me whatsoever. I would strike the term "assault weapon" all together. These are common rifles that are very popular with the public with ownership in the millions. The firearm regardless of cosmetic features such as a bayonet lug, flash suppressor, etc does not make the firearm shoot any faster than any other semi automatic rifle (including rim-fire). There is a major difference of rate of fire between select fire and non select fire rifles, pistols, and shotguns. I am in complete opposition of banning standard capacity magazines that hold more than ten rounds that is part of a rider to this ban.

Second, I am in opposition to the registration of these firearms. This is the first step to confiscation, taxation, and insurance.

Third, I am in opposition that the state recognizes that law enforcement, etc can own these firearms for their self preservation and that I as a citizen does not have the same right of self preservation. As ruled by the Supreme Court that the law enforcement is not obligated to protect you.

Forth, what is wrong with completing the Federal Form 4473 by itself. For some reason or another the state needs and additional duplicate forms for registering firearms and also banning private sales.

Fifth, I believe it is unethical/double standard that manufactures are permitted to build these rifles, pistols, magazines, etc in the state of Connecticut and allow by the state to "export" to other states but not permit the citizens of this state to own the same firearms.

James Haley
875 North Stone St
West Suffield, CT 06093