

McCall, Brandon

From: susan skipp <susanskip@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 4:23 PM
To: Jud Testimony
Subject: (I was told I formatted wrong, sorry for multiple email. April 5 Testimony for Raised Bill 6685, formerly Proposed Bill 77

Categories: Red Category

April 5, 2013

In re: to Raised Bill No. 6685

AN ACT CONCERNING THE PRESUMPTION OF SHARED CUSTODY IN DISPUTES INVOLVING THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF MINOR CHILDREN

Written Testimony in Re: to Raised Bill 6685

To the Chairs: Sen. Eric Coleman and Rep. Gerald Fox and members of the Judiciary Committee
Susan Skipp

Teacher

Litchfield, CT

Dear Sirs and Madams;

Although my current situation would make it appear that I would support this bill, I do not support this bill. It is redundant and this provision is already provided for in 46-56(b). Section F is where I see the most possibility of violations of more rights. The problem in family court is not a shortage of laws, but the shortage of laws being followed.

In my case alone egregious constitutional violations such as right to due process, equal protection, illegal seizure of property as well as other federal violations are rampant.

Moreover and most important:

The state is breaking its own law of 46-56(b) as I have been completely eliminated from my children's lives. So have all of their siblings, including their father's other child. They are cut out from any maternal relatives and siblings, cut off from the school community and friends they had for six years.

The state statute provides active and ongoing interaction with both parents. Yet for two hundred days I have it heard from my children- not even a report card. Court orders are in place that the children are to have free access with the non-custodial parent, yet their father sent the cell phone back I got them for this purpose. This is condoned child abuse: denying a child access to a parent is child abuse under USC 50101.

I have never been adjudicated unfit; I have been a teacher for 16 years. Many of these years including the time of this miscarriage of justice under "Honorable" Lynda Munro's unlawful orders I worked in a CSSD facility. How can I have praise from CSSD for my work with children and not see my own? How can this happen when the burden of proof, a change in circumstances- was not met. I was ordered to RFTD without due process, no protocols were followed, my family did not meet criteria and "Honorable" Lynda Munro didn't follow her own standing orders. No regulations are even available that are to govern RFTD!

I had a GAL, Mary Brigham, who was not appointed or agreed to on case, whom I refused to have to pay a retainer to because she is a danger (I followed two cases where she forced kids from safe homes to live with abusers) I plainly instructed her that she is biased. I told her I want her to have nothing to do with my family as I have watched her not harm not just my children but others. Every witness on the plaintiff's side did commit perjury, even appointed Sidney Horowitz PhD. who has no contract with state and is known to court only via ex parte means and travels with Lynda Munro to promote AFCC programing. (this is one of the biggest issues the court system faces.) Horowitz perjured himself 5 times, Munro let it go, she admonished the GAL's Mary Brigham, incompetence many times yet considered her a credible witness despite her inconsistencies and bias which include filing 18 contempt motions on me, motions for emergency removals of children (with no reason but what plaintiff said) while a GAL is NOT to file motions. The plaintiff has perjured himself too many times to count through about 3000 pages of testimony over the last few years, the Honorable Munro twice.

Honorable Robert Resha did not follow cannon most of time I was in his court. Everyone seemed to have forgotten about code of evidence.

This was all aided and abetted by Mary Brigham esq; Rosemary Guiliano Esq. Attorneys representing me: Bruce Louden Esq, Christopher Hite Esq. And Jim Hirshfield Esq.

So crooked is the system that all grievances are thrown out. I have filed three. For example a GAL can't file motions, yet filed 23 in my case, all to my detriment. This is bias and malice!

All of these officers of court willingly partake in illegal activity. No one does a thing about it. No one is available for the thousands of people this has happened to in the last several years to allow any recourse. Family court in Connecticut is not a court of law, it's a giant cookie jar for unscrupulous judges, lawyers, family relations workers and court appointed "specialists" to grab as much as they want. And they do. The plaintiff had two open DCF cases and was/is on probation for a DUI for use of substances that cause impairment.

Please come to my hearing in Waterbury under Judge Cutsumpas 4/4. The self appointed and no longer appointed GAL called a status conference, but I have not seen my children in 200 days. They have not seen or heard from their mother, their primary attachment figure, in 200 days. The state is breaking it's own law. I want to know why my children are harmed, why I am harmed and no one has done a thing about it except sweep it somewhere else.

Bill 6685 is useless and pointless if judges and lawyers can't follow the laws ALREADY on the books. This is just to side track people from spending time on real issues.

A few useful bills would be 1) an option to have jury trial; 2) any accusation of harm to a child go to criminal court- it is criminal activity- why is it in family court? 3) No more immunity for court appointed Amc, gals, evaluators, therapists etc. They just grab from the cookie jar because no one watches.

According to Deb Fuller, Family Court GALs have immunity. It is not allowed by the constitution to give any person immunity, only an office. The other GALs for probate and juvenile courts are under the public defender's office. My gal padded a bill for 107k! Hard pressed to find professional services in a GAL capacity according to scope and purview of a GAL's appointment on any billing she did supply, and refused to do an affidavit of fees. Hon. Munro allowed this in her court.

Brigham met with the children and me only once in two and a half years. This is appalling. I earn 60k a year and ordered to pay 1000 per month while my cardio thoracic surgeon former husband

claims to make 200 a week as Chief of a department? This is an 8700 cut per week after taxes when we were married. He is now married to another surgeon. Their income is over a million a year. I can't out lawyer or even come close. My kids were sold. The state of Connecticut is complicit in human trafficking.

Between two hospitals 45 minutes apart, office hours and surgeries and rounding morning and evening at both hospitals, my former husband is not caring for children. They have a loving mom with the same hours as they have, who took them to dance, sports and music and cooked their meals. They do not enjoy these things now miss their mother, missed four holidays at their home with me. Instead they live in a Dcf documented unsafe home, with an abuser.

This is a travesty to my children. Their safety and well being goes to the wayside from greed. The state of Connecticut is liable for the harm it caused my children and me. Bill 6685 isn't going to fix the great number of federal law suits that will hit Connecticut for hundreds of millions as more people realize they have been victimized, conflicts incentivized and their civil rights ignored under the color of family law. If you'd like to hear about the federal funding misappropriations, I am all paper on that too.

Make the judges and attorneys follow the laws. No smoke screen bill 6685 as some grape kool-aid panacea: not all of Connecticut residents are dumb sheep. Stop wasting time on this, fix the corruption, collusion, lack of oversight and harm to innocent victims.

Bill 6685 is useless. Make the current laws followed! I apologize for injecting so much of my personal story, it is very difficult to remain unemotional and non-digressive when these events have unfairly and illegally been imposed and destroyed my children's- including my now adult children and step daughter's lives. It's a grenade of pain that blew apart my family and all friendships. My father can't talk to me without crying.

I am 45 years old, it's disturbing for me to hear my father cry.

More can be found at this site, including insurance and billing fraud, collusion with many government agencies to keep it under wraps while continuing court sanctioned racketeering, extortion and selling of children.

This is the second time it has been published.

<http://c.washingtontimes.com/neighborhood/heart-without-compromise-children-and-children-wit/2013/mar/1/immunity-guardian-ad-litem-destroys-connecticut-fa/>

Check out prior story link for more Munro illegal activity and GAL fraud, and other court appointed mental Heath providers who have no contracts with the state. The judiciary is exposing Connecticut Citizens to harm: without contracts is without protection. Also would someone please do something about the uncertified unregistered AFCC, that uses administrative court addresses. Since the AFCC programs have started in Connecticut, that is when the rise in custody conflicts start: 99/00 zero custody battles, 01/02, 1,700. That number has more than doubled by 2011. Again the AFCC is not registered to do business and encourages extensive and improper communications with Judges, mental health providers and attorneys. Why am I paying taxes and this organization does not while using taxpayer money to facilitate it's illegal business and solicits money and members through the state's email?

The primary documents are available through the links in the story. Anyone who votes to pass this bill is either ignorant or complicit with what goes on in family court. Two of my attorneys, judge and appointed mental health providers, who also committed insurance fraud, are Connecticut AFCC members. Bill 6685? Indict 100.

Thank you for not wasting time on this inane bill.

TABLE : FAMILY CASES ADDED BY CASE TYPE FOR THE YEARS 1993-94 TO 2010-11

FAMILY	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11
DISSOLUTION	13,721	14,036	13,340	13,506	13,409	13,624	14,451	13,858	14,280	13,841	13,665	13,654	13,895	13,859	13,621	13,758	14,533	14,081
LEGAL SEPARATION	223	268	243	267	261	275	301	276	284	277	236	253	205	217	256	236	258	225
ANNULMENT	38	33	46	63	76	47	61	56	51	56	56	62	84	56	59	68	90	75
CHANGE OF NAME	34	40	70	63	86	103	86	85	58	78	63	31	45	53	45	30	26	30
CUSTODY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	1,710	1,864	1,976	2,188	2,138	2,322	2,605	2,912	3,115	3,386
DISSOLUTION-CIVIL UNIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	32	46	50	54	48
RELIEF FROM PHYS. ABUSE	5,147	5,450	5,289	5,256	5,328	5,502	5,538	6,002	5,981	6,694	7,374	7,811	8,475	8,479	8,145	8,514	9,211	9,219
FOREIGN JUDGMENTS	124	162	126	158	142	150	160	146	153	156	152	153	139	149	132	154	149	129
VISITATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	427	449	423	423	379	360	395	425	502	628
UNIFORM CHILD CUST JURIS	27	26	17	23	35	41	41	56	22	21	10	27	17	26	29	32	25	31
PAT ACK WITH SUP AGREEMENT	4,095	4,605	3,996	4,512	4,618	9,681	1,657	271	270	204	127	142	10	41	48	39	27	18
PATERNITY PETITION	3,062	4,022	4,777	4,939	4,001	4,130	2,719	2,328	2,006	1,970	1,618	1,783	1,629	1,754	1,713	1,591	1,522	1,720
SUPPORT PETITION	1,506	1,872	1,739	1,950	1,797	2,082	3,529	4,445	4,955	4,844	4,424	5,008	5,083	5,285	5,488	5,241	5,070	5,204
AGREEMENT TO SUPPORT	235	301	202	181	156	140	208	210	170	130	125	129	128	136	123	140	76	58
ALL OTHER	693	799	958	1,070	1,327	1,606	1,809	2,004	241	159	147	220	138	102	105	78	72	75
TOTAL FAMILY	28,905	31,614	30,803	31,988	31,236	37,381	30,560	29,812	30,608	30,743	30,396	31,884	32,377	32,871	32,810	33,268	34,730	34,927