

McCall, Brandon

From: Tsarkov, Alex
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 10:29 AM
To: McCall, Brandon
Subject: FW: Written Testimony in favor of Raised bill 6685 Sent by email

Can you add this testimony?

Thanks,
Alex

From: exileddad@aol.com [exileddad@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 8:29 PM
To: Sen. Fasano, Len; Sen. Coleman, Eric; Rep. Rebimbas, Rosa; Rep. Fox, Gerald
Subject: Written Testimony in favor of Raised bill 6685 Sent by email

John DiBiase
71 Osborn Road Naugatuck, CT.
06770

Re: My testimony in favor of Bill 6685

Dear Judiciary Committee:

I don't see my testimony in the Judiciary Committee records for today's public hearing. I submitted it by email
Yours Truly,
John DiBiase

-----Original Message-----

From: [exileddad <exileddad@aol.com>](mailto:exileddad@aol.com)
To: Eric.Coleman <Eric.Coleman@cga.ct.gov>; Gerald.Fox <Gerald.Fox@cga.ct.gov>; Len.Fasano <Len.Fasano@cga.ct.gov>; Rosa.Rebimbas <Rosa.Rebimbas@cga.ct.gov>
Sent: Tue, Apr 2, 2013 9:11 pm
Subject: Written Testimony in favor of Raised bill 6685

April 5, 2013

In re: to Raised Bill No. 6685

AN ACT CONCERNING THE PRESUMPTION OF SHARED CUSTODY IN DISPUTES INVOLVING THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF MINOR CHILDREN

To the Judiciary Committee

Chairs: Senator Eric Coleman, Representative Gerald Fox and members of the Judiciary Committee;

From: John DiBiase Jr.

Naugatuck, CT. 06770

Child Advocate, and father of 3

April 5, 2013

Testimony in support of Raised Bill 6685

John DiBiase
71 Osborn Road Apt. 5 M
Naugatuck, CT. 06770

Sen. Colman, Rep. Fox and other Judiciary members, thank you for giving me the opportunity to present my views on this life changing bill # 6685 on a Presumption of Shared Parenting. This is the most important piece of Legislation to come before this committee in the past decade because it protects the fundamental equal protection rights of both the children and parents of separation or divorce.

I support this Raised bill No. 6685 in it's present form.

The Judiciary's unwritten policy to give one parent sole custody has been tragic failure. Countless children and their parents have suffered over the past years because of this abusive public policy. It not only has violated our children's rights and hurt many of our children but it has divided and brought about unnecessary conflict between divorcing or separating parents who have for what ever reasons couldn't con to an amicable agreement. One of the faults of Family courts has been it's unwillingness to bring sanctions against a parent for interfering with court ordered parenting time. We must think about the possible harm that a certain law might do to child or **whether** a certain bill will violate a parent's constitutional rights as well.

Will this Shared Parenting proposal guarantee that one parent will have the same rights and privileges as the other parent ? Why is the Judiciary charged with determining what the hours with the children will be and how many overnight stays will be arranged ? Should this be determined by the parents first ? Does this proposal not negate the need for a child support order ?

Only Equal Parenting language, 50/50 residency will guarantee the Equal Protection standard. Again the court will have the power over Connecticut's troubled families. Will the court now invoke sanctions against any parent who violates the parenting time rights of the other parent. Children have the Constitutional right to Equal Access to both of the parents' NO Parent is without faults or flaws.

No Law is without flaws too, That being said, However, I fully support and praise this Raised bill 6685.

It's a good start to bringing equality between parents who are divorcing or separating. Hopefully this will lessen conflict and domestic violence.

Yours Truly,

John DiBiase

Alienated Parent