



From: Mark Daigle <madaigle@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 11:29 AM
To: INSTestimony
Subject: OPPOSE HB6656

Hello,

I am writing to OPPOSE HB6656 - AN ACT CONCERNING LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR FIREARM POSSESSORS OR OWNERS.

I have heard people argue that car owners must, so what's the difference between a car and a gun. Fact is, a car is not a constitutional right for anyone. Owning/Driving a car is a privilege; my 2nd Amendment rights are a constitutional right

If you want Liability insurance for the 2nd Amendment, why not apply that same thought to the 1st Amendment? Words are more powerful than any firearm and cause damage.

How about applying it to the 7th? Require jurors to have liability coverage in case they convict the wrong person and since we are all jurors, require every American to carry it!

This legislation is a slippery slope of unintended consequences. This legislation is just an attempt at backdoor registration.

Working in the IT industry I do not trust the computer security of any company/government collecting and securing this data. It will be hacked and leaked.

Also, requiring an insurance policy gives the insurance companies indirect control over who can own guns because they could refuse to sell policies.

Thank you

--Mark Daigle