

Walter, Judith

From: Blancato, Allison
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 11:59 AM
To: Walter, Judith
Subject: FW: Testimony Before The Planning & Development Committee, Feb. 22, 2012, House Bill 5155

-----Original Message-----

From: *Joyce A~R *soulprints*
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2012 11:04 AM
To: Blancato, Allison
Cc: soulprints@netzero.com; Rep. Roy, Richard; ahulick@cleanwater.org
Subject: Testimony Before The Planning & Development Committee, Feb. 22, 2012, House Bill 5155

Joyce Acebo Raguskus, 174 Eastern Parkway, Milford,
Connecticut 06460

Development Committee
22, 2012
Office Building
House Bill 5155 AN ACT MODIFYING THE BAN ON PESTICIDE APPLICATIONS ON SCHOOL
GROUNDS

Testimony Before The Planning and
Wednesday~February
Room 1B of Legislative

I ABSOLUTELY DO NOT SUPPORT HB 5155 AN ACT MODIFYING THE BAN ON PESTICIDE APPLICATIONS ON SCHOOL GROUNDS.

THIS BILL IS ABOUT BIG BUSINESS, MONEY, AND BUSINESS AS USUAL, WITHOUT REGARD OR REGULATION AND AT THE RISK OF OFFERING FOR DECADES, INVOLUNTARILY, VULNERABLE CHILDREN. The playing fields should have been protected generations ago. We do not want BIG MONEY TO KEEP BIG POISONOUS PLAYING GROUNDS, AT THE COST OF VULNERABLE GROWING CHILDREN, THE LIFE OF OUR COMMUNITIES and especially when we have had, safe, effective alternatives.

This BILL IS ABOUT CHANGING, INTERRUPTING, THE LANDSCAPE OF CHILDREN'S BRAINS...THEIR ENDOCRINE SYSTEM,.. ORGANS WHICH ARE STILL DEVELOPING, MATURING, ENZYMATIC, METABOLIC, AND THEIR IMMUNE SYSTEMS THAT MAY PROVIDE LESS PROTECTION THAN ADULTS, with exception of PROSTATE CANCERS in MEN, the PESTICIDE APPLICATORS ARE ALSO at increased risk. (notel.)

THIS BILL IS Clearly NOT A PROTECTIVE BILL for Children and people, on the contrary . This is not about bee stings, which we have anecdotes. It is about exposing children to diseases which we have no cure! Take a walk though a children's cancer ward...not to mention watching a child struggle with learning disabilities, yes neurological associations here too.

What needs to be applied here is STOP USING THESE CAUSTIC APPLICATION AND USE THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPAL WHEN THERE IS SUSPECT of Harm.
THAT OUR CHILDREN ARE AT HEATH RISK...NOT THE WAIT AND SEE ACT what disease manifests. Collect the money and run.

THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES HAVE ALTERNATIVES TO SUCH toxic EXPOSURES...ORGANICS ETC.

HB5155 will " not" help to provide protection, or help in the prevention of HORRIFIC ASSOCIATED DISEASES, THAT HAVE BEEN DOCUMENTED BY PHYSICIANS, ENDOCRINOLOGIST, SCIENTISTS. SUCH POISONOUS PESTICIDE APPLICATION ON SCHOOL GROUNDS, LEAVES ARE CHILDREN WIDE OPEN TO INGESTING INTO THEIR VULNERABLE BODIES AND BRAINS AND FURTHER DRAGGING THESE TOXINS INTO THEIR HOMES, FOR FURTHER EXPOSURE TO THE FAMILY and women (children in utero). Know that a little pesticides goes a long way in altering and destroying life.

When we kill insects with poisonous applications, we, WE RISK KILLING THE HUMAN CELL AND OR ALTERING THAT CELLS BEHAVIOR..... MESSING AROUND WITH GENETIC MESSAGING!

THAT IS A MAJESTIC PLACE TO BE, in the hands of big business, and life a is HIGH PRICE TO PAY...AND ONE OUR CHILDREN AND UNBORN SHOULD not have to TO SUFFER FROM, with "INCURABLE DISEASES"....

EPA Environmental Protection Agency quotes and concurs "Children are at Greater Risks From pesticide Exposure" un quote...Ref. 1996, 2002 EPA.

EPA in collaboration with Agricultural Health Study 2003 in American Journal of Epidemiology, National Cancer Institute, Division of Environmental Science, also concur that there is increase risk with pesticide applicators with prostate cancer. (note 1.) Now that should speak to a population. Pesticides are not discriminatory.

From the placenta to the playgrounds and into the brains and bodies of human organisms... all of us here in this room are candidates.

WHERE IS THE RESPONSIBILITY, ACCOUNTABILITY AND ETHICS OF SUCH AN UNCONSCIOUS ACT IN THIS HOUSE BILL5155. Potential "consequences" to the most vulnerable population are left out of the equation, is of no mind, nor conscious, to offer children involuntarily exposure. There is a reality here and not new to medicine and science.

HOW MUCH MORE EVIDENCE IS REQUIRED TO CHANGE, THE COURSE, TO SAFER ORGANIC CHEMISTRY AND MAINTAIN A HEALTHY PLAYING FIELD! Again, "The Precautionary Principal " needs application, not toxins.

I do not support the HB5155 and encourage the reading of John Wargo, Yale Professor of Environmental Risk Analysis, "Our Children's Toxic Legacy": How Science and Law Fail to Protect Us From Pesticides, published by Yale University Press in 1998: Special attention to the vulnerability of infants and children.

Thank you for this opportunity.

Note 1. "Associations between pesticide use and prostate cancer risk among the farm population have been seen in previous studies" a most consistent occupational risk factor for prostate cancer." Michael Alavanja, Dr. P.H., NCI's Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics in Bethesda, MD., and principal investigator of the Agricultural Health Study.

Joyce Acebo-Raguskus
Chair Diesel Cleanup, Environmental Concerns Coalition Clean Water Action, Coalition for Safe & Healthy CT
203-874-3437