



CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF LAND SURVEYORS

Seventy-eight Beaver Road / Wethersfield, CT 06109

Tel. 860-563-1990 Fax 860-529-9700 / www.ctsurveyors.org/kathy@ctsurveyor.com

Senator Paul R. Doyle
Co-Chairmen General Law Committee,
Representative Joseph J. Taborsak
Co-Chairmen General Law Committee

**SUBJECT: R.B. No. 269 AN ACT CONCERNING STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS AND
REQUIRING CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION FOR PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS.**

Senator Doyle, Representative Taborsak and members of the General Law Committee;

Thank you for taking the time to consider the following.

My name is Robert Dahn, I am a professional surveyor and a partner in a land surveying and civil engineering firm in Manchester, CT. I am a Past President of the Connecticut Association of Land Surveyors (CAL S) and continue to serve as the chair of the CAL S Legislative Committee. I also currently serve as the President-Elect of the National Society of Professional Surveyors.

On behalf of the CAL S, I am writing to express opposition to the continuing professional education provisions of R.B. 269 as presented. Although CAL S recognizes the potential benefits both to the general public and professional surveying that could be realized through continuing education, we do not believe there is sufficient detail in this legislation to determine if those benefits will be realized through its implementation. It has been my experience as a representative of the surveying community on the national level that there is significant dissatisfaction with the results of continuing education programs in other states. It is the suggestion of CAL S and my personal suggestion as well, that the committee table the continuing education element of this legislation at this time. That a working group be formed to explore ways that continuing education can be designed and implemented that will best ensure the ultimate success of continuing education requirements in Connecticut. That the working group provide the committee with a plan of sufficient detail that all the affected parties can make a reasoned and educated decision based on the merits of the proposed program.

I again thank the committee for its time and attention to this important topic and for your dedication to the people of the State of Connecticut.

Sincerely yours,

Robert Dahn