



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 307

February Session, 2012

Substitute House Bill No. 5117

House of Representatives, April 10, 2012

The Committee on Environment reported through REP. ROY of the 119th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING GENETICALLY-ENGINEERED FOODS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2012*) For the purposes of this
2 section and sections 2 to 5, inclusive, of this act:

3 (1) "Cultivated commercially" means grown or raised by a person in
4 the course of his or her business or trade and sold within the United
5 States;

6 (2) "Enzyme" means a protein that catalyzes chemical reactions of
7 other substances without being destroyed or altered upon completion
8 of such reactions;

9 (3) "Genetically engineered" means any food that is produced from
10 an organism or organisms in which the genetic material changed
11 through the application of: (A) In vitro nucleic acid techniques,
12 including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) techniques and
13 the direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles, or (B) fusion

14 of cells, including protoplast fusion, or hybridization techniques that
15 overcome natural physiological, reproductive or recombination
16 barriers, where the donor cells or protoplasts do not fall within the
17 same taxonomic family, in a way that does not occur by natural
18 multiplication or natural recombination;

19 (4) "Organism" means any biological entity capable of replication,
20 reproduction or transferring genetic material;

21 (5) "In vitro nucleic acid techniques" means techniques, including,
22 but not limited to, recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid or ribonucleic
23 acid techniques, that use vector systems and techniques involving the
24 direct introduction into the organisms of hereditary materials prepared
25 outside the organisms such as microinjection, macroinjection,
26 chemoporation, electroporation, microencapsulation and liposome
27 fusion;

28 (6) "Processed food" means any food other than a raw agricultural
29 commodity and includes any food produced from a raw agricultural
30 commodity that was processed through canning, smoking, pressing,
31 cooking, freezing, dehydration, fermentation or milling; and

32 (7) "Processing aid" means: (A) Any substance that is added to a
33 food during the processing of such food but that is removed in some
34 manner from the food before the food is packaged in a finished form;
35 (B) any substance that is added to a food during processing, that is
36 converted into constituents normally present in the food, and that does
37 not significantly increase the amount of the constituents naturally
38 found in the food; or (C) any substance that is added to a food for its
39 technical or functional effect in the processing but that is present in the
40 finished food at insignificant levels and that does not have any
41 technical or functional effect in the finished food.

42 Sec. 2. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2012*) (a) On and after July 1, 2014,
43 any food offered for retail sale in this state shall be deemed
44 misbranded if such food is, or may have been, entirely or partially
45 produced with genetic engineering and such fact is not disclosed, as

46 follows: (1) In the case of a raw agricultural commodity, on the
47 package offered for retail sale, with the clear and conspicuous words
48 "Genetically Engineered" on the front of the package of such
49 commodity, or in the case of any such commodity that is not separately
50 packaged or labeled, on a label that appears on the retail store shelf or
51 bin in which such commodity is displayed for sale; and (2) in the case
52 of any processed food, in clear and conspicuous language on the front
53 or back of the package of such food, with the words "Partially
54 Produced with Genetic Engineering" or "May be Partially Produced
55 with Genetic Engineering".

56 (b) Nothing in subsection (a) of this section shall be construed to
57 require either the listing or identification of any ingredient or
58 ingredients that were genetically engineered, nor that the term
59 "Genetically Engineered" be placed immediately preceding any
60 common name or primary product descriptor of a food.

61 (c) The requirements of subsection (a) of this section shall not apply
62 to any of the following:

63 (1) Food consisting entirely of, or derived entirely from, an animal
64 that was not genetically engineered, regardless of whether such animal
65 was fed or injected with any genetically-engineered food or any drug
66 that was produced through means of genetic engineering;

67 (2) A raw agricultural commodity or food derived from such
68 commodity that was raised or produced without the knowing and
69 intentional use of genetically-engineered seed or food, provided any
70 person required to comply with the provisions of this section obtains a
71 sworn statement from the person providing such commodity or food
72 that such commodity or food: (A) Was not knowingly or intentionally
73 genetically engineered; and (B) has been segregated from, and was not
74 knowingly or intentionally commingled with, food that may have been
75 genetically engineered at any time. In providing such a sworn
76 statement, any person may rely on a sworn statement from his or her
77 own supplier that contains such an affirmation;

78 (3) Any processed food that would be subject to the provisions of
79 this section solely because it includes one or more genetically-
80 engineered processing aids or enzymes;

81 (4) Any alcoholic beverage;

82 (5) Until July 1, 2019, any processed food that would be subject to
83 the provisions of this section solely because such processed food
84 includes one or more genetically-engineered ingredients, provided: (A)
85 No single such ingredient accounts for more than one-half of one per
86 cent of the total weight of such processed food; and (B) such processed
87 food does not contain more than ten such ingredients;

88 (6) Food that an independent organization determines was not
89 knowingly and intentionally produced from or commingled with
90 genetically-engineered seed or genetically-engineered food, provided
91 such determination is made pursuant to a sampling and testing
92 procedure approved in regulations adopted by the Department of
93 Agriculture. No sampling procedure shall be approved by the
94 department pursuant to this subdivision unless such sampling is: (A)
95 Performed according to a statistically valid sampling plan consistent
96 with principles recommended by internationally recognized sources
97 such as the International Standards Organization (ISO) and the Grain
98 and Feed Trade Association (GAFTA), (B) consistent with the most
99 recent "Guidelines on Performance Criteria and Validation of Methods
100 for Detection, Identification and Quantification of Specific DNA
101 Sequences and Specific Proteins in Foods, (CAC/GL 74 (2010)"
102 published by the Codex Alimentarius Commission, and (C) not reliant
103 on testing of processed foods in which no DNA is detectable;

104 (7) Food that is lawfully certified to be labeled, marketed and
105 offered for sale as "organic" pursuant to the federal Organic Food
106 Products Act of 1990 and the regulations promulgated by the United
107 States Department of Agriculture;

108 (8) Food that is not packaged for retail sale and that either: (A) Is a
109 processed food prepared and intended for immediate human

110 consumption, or (B) is served, sold or otherwise provided in any
111 restaurant or other food facility that is primarily engaged in the sale of
112 food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption; and

113 (9) Medical food.

114 (d) The Department of Agriculture, in consultation with the
115 Departments of Public Health and Energy and Environmental
116 Protection, may adopt regulations pursuant to chapter 54 of the
117 general statutes that are necessary for the implementation and
118 enforcement of the provisions of this section.

119 (e) Any person may bring an action in the superior court for the
120 judicial district of Hartford to enforce the provisions of this section and
121 the court shall have jurisdiction upon hearing and for cause shown to
122 grant a temporary or permanent injunction restraining any person
123 from violating any provision of this section. In addition to any
124 injunctive relief provided, the court may award to the person bringing
125 the action reasonable attorney's fees and all reasonable costs incurred
126 in the investigation and prosecution of such action, as determined by
127 the court. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit or alter
128 the powers of the department and its authorized agents to bring an
129 action to enforce the provisions of this section.

130 Sec. 3. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2012*) The Department of
131 Agriculture shall adopt regulations, pursuant to chapter 54 of the
132 general statutes, that establish best practices for farmers who cultivate
133 commercially any genetically-engineered crop. Such regulations shall
134 require the implementation of practices by such farmers to: (1)
135 Eliminate or minimize the degree to which such genetically-engineered
136 crop affects neighboring lands, and (2) minimize the amount of
137 herbicides used by such farmers to eradicate herbicide-resistant weeds.

138 Sec. 4. (*Effective October 1, 2012*) Not later than October 15, 2012, the
139 Commissioner of Consumer Protection shall, in accordance with
140 section 11-4a of the general statutes, report to the joint standing
141 committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of the

142 environment and consumer protection on a method to implement a
 143 program that will provide preference in the display of food items at
 144 retail establishments for any food item that is voluntarily labeled in
 145 such a manner as to indicate whether such food item is genetically
 146 engineered or contains genetically-engineered ingredients.

147 Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2012*) Not later than October 15,
 148 2012, the Commissioner of Consumer Protection, in consultation with
 149 the Commissioners of Agriculture, Public Health and Energy and
 150 Environmental Protection, shall publish a list on the Department of
 151 Consumer Protection's Internet web site that indicates those raw
 152 agricultural commodities known to be genetically engineered. The
 153 commissioner shall update such list not less than once every calendar
 154 year.

155 Sec. 6. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2012*) Not later than January 1,
 156 2013, the Commissioner of Administrative Services shall develop
 157 recommendations for the implementation of state agency procurement
 158 guidelines that will provide a preference for the use and purchase of
 159 processed foods and raw agricultural commodities that are voluntarily
 160 labeled to indicate whether such processed food or raw agricultural
 161 commodity contains genetically-engineered ingredients or is
 162 genetically engineered, respectively. Concomitantly, the commissioner
 163 shall submit any requisite statutory or regulatory changes for the
 164 implementation of such recommendations to the joint standing
 165 committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters
 166 relating to the environment.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section
Sec. 2	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section
Sec. 3	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section
Sec. 4	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section
Sec. 5	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section
Sec. 6	<i>October 1, 2012</i>	New section

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In section 2(e), the reference to "such person" in the second sentence was changed to "the person bringing the action" for clarity, and in section 4, "(NEW)" was deleted because this is a special act section.

ENV *Joint Favorable Subst.-LCO*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 13 \$	FY 14 \$
Department of Agriculture	GF - Potential Cost	Potential Significant	Potential Significant

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill requires the Department of Agriculture (DAG) to develop regulations that establish best practices for farmers who commercially cultivate any genetically-engineered crop. This requirement may result in significant costs to DAG as the agency would have to hire a consultant with the scientific knowledge required to draft the regulations.

There is no fiscal impact to the Department of Consumer Protection (DCP) as the agency currently has information available to publish the on-line list required under the bill.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

OLR Bill Analysis**sHB 5117*****AN ACT CONCERNING GENETICALLY-ENGINEERED FOODS.*****SUMMARY:**

This bill requires that, beginning July 1, 2014, certain food items are considered misbranded unless labeled as genetically-engineered or partially produced with genetic engineering. The bill (1) authorizes the Department of Agriculture (DOAG) to adopt regulations to implement and enforce the requirement and (2) establishes a process for any person to bring legal action for enforcement.

The bill also contains several requirements for state agencies related to genetically-engineered food, crops, and commodities. It requires:

1. the Department of Consumer Protection (DCP) commissioner to publish a list of genetically-engineered raw agricultural commodities on its website;
2. DOAG to adopt regulations establishing best practices for farmers who commercially grow a genetically-engineered crop;
3. the DCP commissioner to report to the legislature on implementing a retail food display preference program for voluntarily labeled items; and
4. the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) commissioner to develop recommendations for implementing state agency procurement guidelines that provide a preference for using and purchasing voluntarily labeled foods or raw agricultural commodities, and submit to the legislature statutory and regulatory changes required to implement them.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2012

MISBRANDED GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD

Genetically Engineered

Under the bill, “genetically engineered” means any food produced from an organism or organisms in which the genetic material changed by (1) *in vitro* nucleic acid techniques such as recombinant DNA techniques and the direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles or (2) fusing cells that are not in the same taxonomic family, in a way that does not occur by natural multiplication or recombination.

Label Requirement

Starting July 1, 2014, food that is genetically-engineered or partially produced with genetic engineering offered for retail sale in the state is misbranded if it is not labeled accordingly. The bill does not establish a penalty for, or consequences of, misbranding.

The bill requires processed food to state clearly and conspicuously on the food package’s front or back, “Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering” or “May be Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering.” Under the bill, “processed food” is any food except a raw agricultural commodity, including food produced from such a commodity through canning, smoking, pressing, cooking, freezing, dehydration, fermentation, or milling.

A raw agricultural commodity must clearly and conspicuously state “Genetically Engineered” on the front of its package. If such commodity is not separately packaged or labeled, the label must appear on the retail store shelf or bin where it is displayed.

The bill specifies that it does not require (1) genetically-engineered ingredients to be listed or identified or (2) the words “Genetically Engineered” to be placed before any food’s common name or primary product descriptor.

Exemptions

The bill exempts from the labeling requirement:

1. food from a non-genetically-engineered animal even if it was fed or injected with a genetically-engineered food or drug;
2. processed food otherwise subject to labeling because it includes at least one genetically-engineered processing aid or enzyme (see below);
3. alcoholic beverages;
4. medical food;
5. food lawfully certified to be labeled, marketed, and offered for sale as organic under applicable federal law and regulations;
6. until July 1, 2019, processed food otherwise subject to labeling if the food has 10 or fewer genetically-engineered ingredients and no single ingredient is more than .5% of the food's total weight;
7. food not packaged for retail sale that is (1) a processed food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption or (2) served, sold, or provided in a restaurant or food facility that primarily sells food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption; and
8. a raw agricultural commodity or food derivative raised or produced without the knowing or intentional use of genetically-engineered seed or food if the person offering the food for sale provides a sworn statement from the producer or distributor that the commodity or derivative was (1) not knowingly or intentionally genetically-engineered and (2) segregated from and not knowingly or intentionally commingled with food that may have been genetically-engineered. The bill allows a person providing a sworn statement to rely upon a sworn statement from a supplier.

The bill also exempts food an independent organization determines was not knowingly and intentionally produced from or commingled with genetically-engineered seed or food. The determination must be based on a DOAG-approved sampling and testing procedure. For DOAG approval, the sampling procedure must require sampling to be:

1. performed according to a statistically valid sampling plan consistent with principles recommended by internationally recognized sources such as the International Standards Organization and the Grain and Feed Trade Association (see BACKGROUND);
2. consistent with the Codex Alimentarius Commission's most recent "Guidelines on Performance Criteria and Validation of Methods for Detection, Identification and Quantification of Specific DNA Sequences and Specific Proteins in Foods, (CAC/GL 74 (2010))"; and
3. not reliant on testing processed food with no detectable DNA.

Under the bill, a "processing aid" is a substance added to a food during processing but (1) removed before packaging; (2) converted into elements normally present in the food without significantly increasing the elements in the food naturally; or (3) present in the finished food product at an insignificant level without a technical or functional effect. An "enzyme" is a protein that catalyzes chemical reactions without being destroyed or altered after the reaction is complete.

Regulations

The bill authorizes DOAG, in consultation with the departments of public health and energy and environmental protection, to adopt regulations necessary to implement and enforce the bill's labeling provisions.

Enforcement

The bill also permits the department (presumably DOAG) or any

person to file an action in Hartford Superior Court for enforcement. The court may temporarily or permanently enjoin a person from violating the law after a hearing and a showing of cause. It can also determine and award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the person bringing the action.

FARMER BEST PRACTICES

The bill requires DOAG to adopt regulations establishing best practices for farmers who grow or raise a genetically-engineered crop for trade or sale in the United States. The regulations must require the farmers to implement the practices to (1) eliminate or minimize the impact of genetically-engineered crops on neighboring lands and (2) minimize herbicide use to eradicate herbicide-resistant weeds.

RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES LIST

The DCP commissioner must, by October 15, 2012, publish a list of raw agricultural commodities known to be genetically-engineered on the department's website. He must do so in consultation with the agriculture, public health, and energy and environmental protection commissioners. The DCP commissioner must update the list at least once each year but it is unclear how the commissioners will obtain this information.

FOOD DISPLAY PREFERENCE REPORT

By the same date, the bill requires the DCP commissioner to report to the Environment and General Law committees on a method for implementing a program that establishes a preference for displaying foods that are voluntarily labeled to indicate whether they are genetically-engineered or contain genetically-engineered ingredients.

STATE AGENCY PROCUREMENT GUIDELINES

By January 1, 2013, the bill requires the DAS commissioner to develop recommendations for implementing state agency procurement guidelines that provide a preference for using and purchasing processed foods and raw agricultural commodities that are voluntarily labeled to indicate if they are genetically-engineered or contain

genetically-engineered ingredients. The DAS commissioner must also submit to the Environment Committee any statutory or regulatory changes needed to implement the recommendations.

It is unclear under the bill (1) whether the guidelines would apply to judicial and legislative branch agencies and (2) how the DAS commissioner's authority would reconcile with the authority and responsibilities of the State Contracting Standards Board which includes developing a procurement guide for all state contracting agencies (CGS § 4e-4(e)).

BACKGROUND

International Standards Organization

The International Standards Organization is a non-governmental organization that develops and publishes standards to support industry-wide international standardization in most business, industry, and technology sectors. It is comprised of the national standards institutes of 163 countries, including the United States.

Grain and Feed Trade Association

The Grain and Feed Trade Association is an international trade association that promotes international trade in grain, animal feed, grain legumes, and rice.

Codex Alimentarius Commission

The Codex Alimentarius Commission was created in 1963 by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization to develop food standards, guidelines, and related documents, such as codes of practice.

Federal Regulatory Authority

In general, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the U.S. Department of Agriculture regulate labeling requirements of certain foods through the federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 USC § 301 *et seq.*), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 USC § 451 *et seq.*), and the Meat Inspection Act (21 USC § 601 *et seq.*). These acts generally

prohibit states from requiring that these foods be labeled in a manner inconsistent with federal labeling requirements.

Related Case

The constitutionality of state laws requiring specific food labeling has been raised in federal courts, including our own U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals.

In a case involving a Vermont law requiring dairy manufacturers to label milk and milk products derived from or that may have been derived from cows treated with recombinant bovine somatotropin (a synthetic hormone used to increase milk production), the Second Circuit ruled the law was likely unconstitutional on First Amendment grounds. The district court below had denied the dairy manufacturer's request for an injunction to prevent the law's enforcement by ruling that they had not shown a likelihood of success under the First Amendment or Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution. But the Second Circuit concluded that Vermont's asserted state interest of a public "right to know" and strong consumer interest was inadequate to compel the commercial speech (i.e., the labeling requirement). Because the Second Circuit ruled on First Amendment grounds, it did not reach the Commerce Clause claims (*International Dairy Foods Association v. Amestoy*, 92 F.3d 67 (2d Cir. 1996)).

The Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution gives Congress the power "to regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states" (U.S. Const. Art. I, § 8). A law that facially discriminates against interstate commerce violates the Constitution unless there is no other means to advance a legitimate local interest. If a law is facially nondiscriminatory, supports a legitimate state interest, and only incidentally burdens interstate commerce, it is constitutional unless the burden is excessive in relation to local benefits.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Environment Committee

Joint Favorable

Yea 23 Nay 6 (03/21/2012)