3-20-11

Dear Sen. Gerratana + Judiciary Comm Members:

Please do NOT make BILL 1094 law.
(Banning large capacity Ammo magazines)

Confiscation of legal property by a stroke of a pen is Illegal. this law, 1094, is bad precident: in the future, the state could do away w/ anything own. If the state wants to take something from its citizens, there is due process of law that is to be followed, resulting in a citizen's property Turned over to State in exchange for an appraised monetary Payment.

- EXAMPLE: It is not uncommon for a competative shooter to have ? ± 10 Large cap mags, @ $40 → $100 each (± $70 avg). Turn in Loss $700- Attempt to purchase 10 Round mags ?? unknown, new manufacturing, new item, etc... easily over $700-. BUT wait!! : older firearms unique, not in current production, will not have availability (no numerical demand) of 10 Round mags. Result: 3-4 Firearms, that are owned by CT citizen gun owner, that w/o those original mags have become non-functional: a Serialized + registered paper weight (avg price ?? ± 400 → 800 → approx $600 x 4 firearms → $2400 Loss

Turn in Loss $700-
10 Rd attempt $700 ± (purchase ? questionable)
obseleted firearms $2400
± $3800 citizen Loss →

WHO is going to compensate avg. Conn citizen for a Legislative induced LOSS?? $$

Why is the Legislature on the offensive moving against law abiding citizens? Making this a CLASS D FELONY is overly severe, tantamount to cruel & unusual punishment. Like capital punishment (execution) for talking on a cell phone while driving!!!

A FELONY? Should a gun owner find a 6 cap mag in his basement (from a previous owner) or simply forgot to turn it in, a FELONY results: loose your rt to Vote!, a decent job, classification as a "serious" criminal, for an inanimate piece of stal formerly legal. As a felon, he can no longer own a firearm: Is this a round-about way of persecuting firearms owners by those legislatures that are anti-gun??

I beg you to "Kill" bill 1094.

Respectfully submitted

John Ziminski
4 Forest ST
New Britain, CT 06052