

SENATOR MARTIN M. LOONEY

MAJORITY LEADER

Eleventh District
New Haven & Hamden



State of Connecticut

SENATE

State Capitol
Hartford, Connecticut 06106-1591
132 Fort Hale Road
New Haven, Connecticut 06512
Home: 203-468-8829
Capitol: 860-240-8600
Toll-free: 1-800-842-1420
www.SenatorLooney.cga.ct.gov

March 9, 2011

Good afternoon Senator Coleman, Representative Fox and members of the Judiciary Committee. I am here to testify in support of S.B. 954 AN ACT CONCERNING THE ELECTRONIC RECORDING OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS and H.B. 6344 AN ACT CONCERNING EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION.

I have long been an advocate for the taping of custodial interrogations, as I believe that this procedure protects both the police and the suspects in the interrogation process. S.B. 954, AN ACT CONCERNING THE ELECTRONIC RECORDING OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS would move our state in this direction. While historically law enforcement officers have viewed taping of confessions with some trepidation, once they begin the process many of them become advocates for it. The Chief State's Attorney, Kevin Kane, has been helpful in increasing the use of taping custodial interrogations. If you would like more information on taping of confessions and the positive response from law enforcement I would be pleased to share with you a

report from the Northwestern University School of Law, "Police Experiences with Recording Custodial Interrogation." Taping of confessions would ensure greater accountability in our judicial system.

H.B. 6344, AN ACT CONCERNING EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION, would represent another improvement in the accuracy of our judicial system by addressing the notorious conundrum of eyewitness identification

Everyone involved with the criminal justice system is well aware that eyewitness identification can be a double edged sword. It is prone to stunning inaccuracy, but it is also perhaps the most compelling testimony in a courtroom. Therefore, we have an obligation to ensure that this testimony is as accurate as possible. H.B. 6344 would reduce the probability of error or of undue influence by law enforcement by, among other things, requiring that the line-up or photographs be shown in sequential order rather than simultaneously and that, when possible, the person conducting the identification procedure should not know who in the line-up or photographs is the suspected offender, and that the fillers in the line-up generally fit the description of the suspected offender. These proposals would increase confidence in the investigative techniques used by our criminal justice system. However, the best way to make the most positive changes regarding eyewitness identification require the support of the law enforcement community.

I have been working with former Connecticut State Supreme Court Justice David Borden and Chief State's Attorney Kevin Kane on a bill to create a task force to research current scientific knowledge as well as current practices. The task force would

create recommendations for law enforcement best practices. These recommendations could be the basis for legislation if they were not adopted voluntarily by the law enforcement community. This task force should create support within the law enforcement community for these procedural changes and that should lessen the resistance that these proposals have faced in the past. I look forward to working with you on this issue