



2074 Park Street  
Suite L  
Hartford, CT 06106  
860-523-9146

**To: Judicial Committee Members**

**From: Sandra J. Staub, ACLU-CT Legal Director**

**Written Testimony Opposing  
Raised Bill No. 6439  
An Act Concerning Habeas Corpus Reform**

Good afternoon Senator Coleman, Representative Fox and members of the Judiciary Committee. My name is Sandra Staub. As the Legal Director for the ACLU of Connecticut, I am here to oppose Raised Bill No. 6439, An Act Concerning Habeas Corpus Reform.

As you know, the remedy of habeas corpus is used to request prison officials to produce an inmate in court so that the court may determine the lawfulness of the inmate's detention. The purpose of the petition is to prevent the government from continuing to imprison the wrongly convicted. The United States Supreme Court has called habeas corpus a "fundamental instrument for safeguarding individual freedom against arbitrary and lawless state action." Thomas Jefferson deemed the right of habeas corpus an 'essential principle of our government.'

The limits of one to three years on the time for wrongfully incarcerated prisoners to file habeas petitions in this bill may have been inspired by a desire to reduce the expense associated with the remedy of habeas corpus. However, this bill may do more harm than good. Although presumably intended to reduce litigation by preventing cases from being filed, it is more likely that this bill would have the opposite effect: The new limits will create an influx of litigation and will create an incentive for inmates to file first and determine the strength of their cases later. Exceptions to the time limits are complex and in places ambiguous and for these reasons will be heavily litigated, thereby costing the state time and money. Section 2 contains a repeal of unspecified statutory and common law causes of action that will likely result in more litigation. Any resources saved by the bill's new limitations will be spent litigating its "exceptions" provision and its unspecified meanings.

The bill runs the risk of eliminating the right of prisoners to prove their innocence. Such a risk cannot be justified, especially in light of the extra litigation that can be expected to be generated by the bill. Regardless of the costs, it is never a good idea to restrict the rights of the wrongfully imprisoned.

The ACLU of Connecticut urges you to reject these proposed reforms to preserve the essential principle of habeas corpus and to protect the rights of the innocent.