

March 8, 2011

To: Human Services
Re: Raised Bill 6486

Hello Senator Musto, Representative Tereyak, Senator Coleman,
Representative Morris and Members of the Committee

As parents of a daughter with a severe physical disability, she has hired, managed and, if necessary, terminated her personal assistants for the last 25 years. She trained her employees to meet her **OWN** personal needs that have enables her to live a productive, professional life. Therefore, I strongly oppose Bill 6486.

The duties of the Personal Care Attendant Quality Workforce Council are already being fulfilled by the Allied Community Resources Fiduciary Services, who oversees the various waivers. People with disabilities can take advantage of Allied services that recruit, train, and provide a back-up list of personal assistants. These services are optional. The majority of people with disabilities and their families would rather hire, train and manage their personal assistants because everyone has different preferences and needs. Therefore, the Personal Care Attendant Quality Workforce Council would duplicate services that are being provided by Allied Community Resources Fiduciary Services and should not be established.

In addition by forming the Council, it is taking the first step toward unionizing Personal Care Attendants. The union would hurt rather than help people with disabilities' lives.

Thank you for reading my viewpoints, and please vote no to Bill 6486. We have seen our daughter thrived in her life with the assistance of her personal assistants. Please don't take away her **own employees**.

Jack and Claire Langton
275 Steele Road
West Hartford, CT 06107