



912 Silas Deane Highway
Wethersfield, CT 06109

Tel: 860.529.6855

Fax: 860.563.0616

ccia-info@ctconstruction.org

www.ctconstruction.org

**Senate Bill 1119, An Act Concerning Licensing Agreements of the
Department of Public Works, the Prequalification and Rejection of
Bidders, Bid Protests and a Redefinition of Procurement
Government Administration and Elections Committee
March 7, 2011**

CCIA Position: Opposed

Connecticut Construction Industries Association, Inc. (CCIA) represents the commercial construction industry in the state and seeks to advance and promote a better quality of life for all citizens in the state. Formed over 40 years ago, CCIA is an organization of associations, where all sectors of the commercial construction industry work together to advance and promote their shared interests. CCIA is comprised of about 350 members, including contractors, subcontractors, suppliers and affiliated organizations representing many sectors of the construction industry. CCIA members have a long history of providing quality work for the public benefit.

Section 4 of Senate Bill 1119, An Act Concerning Licensing Agreements of the Department of Public Works, the Prequalification and Rejection of Bidders, Bid Protests and a Redefinition of Procurement, would require the awarding authority (the Commissioner of Public Works) to reject the bid of any bidder who, within the past seven years, has received three or more unsatisfactory written evaluations.

CCIA is **opposed** to Senate Bill 1119 because the significant unintended negative consequences of the mandatory requirements far outweigh its intended benefits. Further, it would upset the balance of a very measured statute to a point that it could easily put good state contractors that have a long history of performing quality work for the public benefit out of business.

While CCIA strongly supports contractor evaluations as an integral part of an effective prequalification system, there are several issues that must be addressed before these extreme measures are considered, including:

- Standards should be developed for evaluations and they should provide safeguards from abuse.
- Contractors should be afforded a hearing to test the accuracy of an evaluation, or explain extenuating circumstances relating to an evaluation.
- Remedial measures and mitigating factors should be considered when analyzing evaluations.

Standards and safeguards are needed

Different government and private entities may use different criteria or standards as a basis for evaluations. Depending on the purpose, criteria, and standard used by the



AGCCT



evaluator, an unsatisfactory evaluation by one entity may be perfectly acceptable, or even irrelevant to another. Without proper criteria and standards, the bill poses a risk to every state contractor, that its bid could be rejected based on an evaluation that has absolutely nothing to do with its ability to perform on a state project.

Simply rejecting a bid based on an arbitrary number of unsatisfactory evaluations can lead to unintended results. For example, contractor evaluations may be misused to gain leverage in construction disputes, or to gain an advantage over contractors performing on projects. The parties to construction projects often have differing opinions regarding the interpretation of contract provisions, drawings, and specifications that lead to disputes. A party in control of an evaluation could use it as leverage to gain an advantage over the contractor to be evaluated on a project.

Contractors should be afforded a hearing

If a contractor's bid is called into question based on evaluations, the contractor, at the very least, should have a sufficient opportunity to test and explain the evaluation. A rejection of a bid without a hearing could effectively eliminate qualified contractors from state contracting without a chance to tell the contractor's side of the story, which may call the evaluation into question, show it to be inaccurate, or show that it is irrelevant to the contractor's ability to perform public work.

Remedial measures and mitigating factors should be considered

Basing bid rejections on unsatisfactory evaluations extending back over long periods of time may inadvertently eliminate competent contractors. Contractors quickly address concerns on projects and with their business. Key personnel can change in construction companies from year to year. State contracting agencies should consider mitigating factors and remedial measures that come into play to address concerns before deeming a contractor not prequalified.

Prequalification and the opportunity to bid on public projects is the lifeblood for most successful contractors. Bid rejection could be the death-knell for a contractor. Proper protections should be in place before the state considers extreme measures to reject contractors from bidding on public projects.

Please contact CCIA President Don Shubert, AGC of Connecticut Executive Director John Butts, or CCIA Director of Government Relations and Legislative Counsel Matthew Hallisey, at 860-529-6855, if you have any questions or if you need additional information.