

Blanchard, Deborah

From: MemaProSe@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2010 4:48 AM
To: Blanchard, Deborah
Cc: memaprose@aol.com
Subject: Judiciary Committee Hearing 2.17.2010 on Reappointments

On the issue of the reappointment of John A. Mastropietro of Watertown, and Scott A. Barton, of Seymour, to be a Commissioner of the Workers Compensation I set forth my objections based on my personal experience, the following reasons and for good cause shown. John A. Mastropietro, Chairmen of the Workers Compensation Commission, was appoint to the post as Commissioner by John Rowland, former Governor of the State. He later elevated him to the Chairman around October 1999, after I wrote a letter Complaint regarding Jesse Frankle, based on telephone sexual harassment. "Mastropietro", is an Attorney and former Chairman of the Republican State Central Committee. Over the past eleven years and more recently John A. Mastropietro, has repeated lied to various persons who have made inquiry into the length of time this claim has been in existence (21 years). He alleges I am dissatisfied with the amount of money's paid to Medicare based on a lien I requested they levy against the claim, for much needed medical treatment and physical therapy due to various Commissioner and the respondent attorney's denying me timely medical treatment to include physical therapy. Medicare a Federal agency brought to my attention said lien was never paid and that the respondent attorney's claimed regarding my claim was closed. Medicare researched their records, finding no reimbursement had been made thus causing them to reopen my files (their Records) by placing a lien on the Compensation claim which will not released until their receive a properly executed release signed by me that the claim has been satisfied. I have requested Chairman Mastropietro, made inquiry as to the whereabouts are of the money the respondent allege they made to Medicare. However he refuses to do so therefor it is my belief I can reasonable presume he is Violating a Public Trust, (not investigating where the money went with regard to the Lien Payments to Medicare) the Oath he took as an attorney, his duties he has been entrusted with as a Commissioner, the true intent of the Worker's Compensation Act and to quote him "he sits around a table" allegedly trying to negotiate claims.

On the issue of the appoint of Commission Scott A. Barton, I set for my objection for good cause shown as follows. I Motioned he set down an Informal Hearing on the issue of the Payment of the Medicare Lien; I was denied the informal hearing. After Medicare sent a copy of their Lien letter I again request the Informal Hearing and that the respondents bring proof that payment was made by producing a copy of the front and back of the check. He then sets down a date for the Informal Hearing. The respondents failed to bring forth copies of the check(s) based on a defense offered by Commissioner Scott Barton's "the checks were to old, they no longer has copies of them" (at that time approximately 7 years old). When I questioned what they would produce if the IRS did an audit of either the attorneys or the Respondent WCT or both Records. They blow it off. Commissioner Barton, showed more concern for Attorney Neil Ambrose who said he did not feel well, instead of demonstrating concern for the injury employee as stated in the "Act".

In summary, upon an employee enters into an agreement with a employer, worker compensation insurance is offered and a condition to that agreement is should the employee becomes injured they promise not to sue, in exchange for bring the claim to the

Commission.

The over all appears of the Commissions actions is that they are viewing the injured employee as "slaves" all during the time that they act in a reckless and hazardous manner at the expense of the citizens of the State be they injured or not. For the above reasons I do not support their being reappointed as Commissioners of the Worker's Compensation Commission.

On the issue of the appointment of the Honorable David W. Skolnick of Woodbridge as a State Referee, I set forth my summary as follows;

I have appeared before the Honorable David W. Skolnick as a prose litigant. David W. Skolich is bound by rules of ethics, the Oath he took as an attorney and subject to the Grievance Procedure offered by Law to one who is aggrieved for inappropriate actions. Unbeknown to me Judge W. Skolnick, sealed a file in the matter Palermo vs the Town of Stratford ET Al under the direction of Court Operations because the file was being used in an abusive manner by several attorneys, politicians, etc. In the matter Linda Palermo Vs Stonybrook Garden Cooperative Inc and Linda Ayres Palermo vs. United Methodist Home (the Compensation Matter), he denied me a "protective order" I applied for due to HIPA Law being violated and my security number being compromised by several of those same attorneys and others which I believe was done not only in retaliation for filing a grievance and because some of his colleges encourage it. Based on my moral up bringing instilled in me by my parents who both were of Spanish heritage, coupled together with the expression "their is good and bad under every tree", I look to the good under the tree, and state, I absolutely support the Honorable David W. Skolnick appointment as a State Referee.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda J. Ayres Palermo