

March 6, 2009

I would say good morning, but I don't think it is a good morning. However, I do extend my greetings. I'm not here to complain about the economy or the greed that got us to this crisis. I'm not here to ask for support for our military and veterans, which I think is incredibly important. I'm here for something that is even more crucial and basic, and I wish I were not here.

Marriage between one man and one woman is the basic building block of society. Children deserve the protection of their two biological parents. Life has been this way since Adam and Eve.

Frankly, as a citizen, I am appalled that the state judiciary would hijack the will of the majority of the people as they did last fall, when they declared the civil union bill unconstitutional and created this mess we are in now.

The majority of the citizens in this state do not condone the gay lifestyle, they tolerate it as an expression of free will.

The majority of people strongly oppose the promotion of the gay lifestyle in our schools, exposing our children to harmful behavior.

If you doubt that being gay is harmful, then just go to the National Institute of Health and just search death statistics of homosexuals. The medical studies are astounding, and very sad.

The first answer I found this morning said:

Quote: surveys suggested that the median age of death for homosexuals is less than 50 years. Four contemporary databases were used . . . : (1) obituaries in the homosexual press from 1993 through 1997 reflected treatment success for those with AIDS but suggested a median age of death less than 50 years; (2) two large random sexuality surveys in 1994--one in the USA and the other in Britain--yielded results consistent with a median age of death for homosexuals of less than 50 years; (3) the median age of those ever married in Denmark, Sweden, and Norway was about 50 years, while that of the ever homosexually partnered was about 40 yr; further, the /traditionally/ married were about 5 times more apt to be old and 4 times less apt to be widowed young; and (4) intravenous drug abusers and homosexuals taking HIV tests in Colorado had almost identical age distributions. The four lines of evidence were consistent with previous findings suggesting that homosexual activity may be associated with a lifespan shortened by 20 to 30 years. Unquote.

Do it yourself, fellow citizens. Go to the National Institute of Health website yourself. We are being deceived. Why would we want to sanction, never mind condone, a lifestyle that shortens life 20 to 30 years! Why would we want to expose our children to this danger? We might as well teach them to go out and smoke and take drugs. Love compels us to protect our children from danger.

Why would we as a state want to establish this dangerous life style as a specific and separate cultural classification in society? Did you catch the last study?: "intravenous drug abusers had almost identical age distributions" with homosexuals. Very heart breaking.

As a taxpayer, I believe that marriage should be protected. So do the majority of people in the state and this country.

Look, even getting a driver's licence is protected. You have to be 16, you must have adequate vision, (probably to see the road and the signs, and the other cars,) you have to pass the test.

We are not violating anyone's civil rights by having a few basic requirements for getting married, like satisfying the biological requirement of being designed to have children and continue our society. Section 17 must be amended to protect children and families, and to protect religious liberty in this state, one of the most basic liberties in our society. Thank you.

Pastor Kate Bartos
New Hartford, CT