


HJ No. 28
March 17, 2008

To: The General Assembly

Please read this testimony carefully. The plaintiff will re-file this case with the Claims Commissioner if you do not re-open the claim and add additional relief for the State evading a gaseous reaction. The plaintiff will not allow the State to dismiss this claim at this point. So please try hard not to dismiss the claim. You will have to read the forgoing testimony to understand what type of gaseous reaction I am talking about. The amount in relief has not yet been figured.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Ave
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382



April 5, 2007

To : Honorable Jodi Rell

Dear Governor Jodi Rell

I am sending you this letter after 21 years of researching the environment, that started in 1986. I began researching the "effects of landscaping" back in 1986 because I knew landscaping was wrong, and would end up with certain effects in the environment.

As long as, the State of Connecticut has landscaping in the State, which landscaping started about the 1960's, the State of Connecticut is going to need somebody to research the "effects of landscaping".

As of the year 2000, I began researching a gaseous reaction in the State of Connecticut, which I determined from landscaping. I researched this gaseous reaction thru actual visual detection for 8 years it is now 2007. The State of Connecticut needs somebody to research this gaseous reaction, which I determined from certain types of landscaping.

Would the governors office, think about what I am saying above and forget about seeking any government agency to handle this matter because the United States government is ignorant to this gaseous reaction, and the thought of having somebody on government pay researching the effects of landscaping negatively is just to good to have.

Therefore, an effect of landscaping is a visual gaseous reaction. I have also concluded that this gaseous reaction causes tree death.

So therefore the reason for this letter, is to notify the Governors Office that there is a very serious problem in landscaping, and the Governors Office should consult with Mark S. Ciriello at (203)597-8382, and appoint a person to research the effects of landscaping.

Just as an example, of what type of a situation, we are in, Summer is coming and the entire City of Waterbury will be engulfed in this gaseous reaction from certain types of landscaping.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Av.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203)597-8382



OBJECTION TO OPPOSITION
CLAIM OF MARK S.CIRIELLO
CLAIM NO. 21108

June 11, 2007

To briefly explain this situation, about the 1920's-1930's somebody started "great big nurseries" in the United States and even in Canada. When about the 1960's landscaping was started, and these nurseries transported, trillions and trillions and trillions of potted plants, shrubs, trees, etc. The nurseries one way or the other way managed to get there landscaping in the back, and front of every home in the State of Connecticut, even in Parks, Boulevards, Police Stations, Fire Departments, Schools, etc. Everywhere you go there is landscaping, and it's just not this State it's in every State in the United States, up and down every street, avenue, etc. I am not claiming that there is a problem with all nursery products, but I am claiming there is a problem most of their nursery products.

It is now 2007, and I believe based on researching, that in the future, the State of Connecticut is going to have a very big biological problem with all this landscaping that is going on and it doesn't take a genius to figure out there is going to be a problem. As for the biological problem in the future, it has already started in the year 2000, that was the first year biological gasses were first detected from landscaping, the gasses were detected and researched thru 2000-2001-2002-2003-2004-2005-2006-2007. These gasses were determined to cause tree death, so that would mean the State is going to have to watch over the forest very carefully. There is such a rich amount of trees in the State of Connecticut that the tree death now in * 2007, may not be of concern to the Dept. of Forestry for right now but wait ten years there be billions more.

So the State should STOP LANDSCAPING IMMEDIATELY except for planting certain flowers and grass seed. The State of Connecticut will need a conference table, and a lot of people to deal with this biological problem with the landscaping.

Now I know the Claims Commissioner will probably dismiss this claim but the State is advised that litigation never stops, I have an appointment to talk to a State official coming up, about the effects of landscaping. Also I am going to be talking with the Mayors Office of Waterbury about landscaping in our Parks, and Fire Depts. etc. So therefore the State owes me compensation, and expenses from 1986-2007.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Av.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382



July 9, 2007

Dear ,Nanci Mae Gminski
Chief Clerk


Claim No.21108 Claim of Mark Scott Ciriello

Pursuant to Sections 4-158 and 4-159 the plaintiff requests review of the decision of the Claims
Commissioner.

The plaintiff claims,that this claim,and the previous claims filed were never explained properly to
the court,so therefore the plaintiff asks the court for special re-consideration,this claim is really not that
complicated,but I had to learn the courts routine and explain my claim,which took me years to learn.


Simply enough the plaintiff claims,that we are having a biological problem with certain types of
landscaping,that would mean certain types of landscaping are producing gasses.Also,these gasses were
determind to cause tree death.If the claim is accepted into a court trial the plaintiff will continue to explain
these biological gasses which were first detected in the year 2000,it is now 2007 and these gasses,do need
FEDERAL ATTENTION.

Mark Scott Ciriello
54 New Haven Av.
Waterbury,Ct.06708
(203)597-8382



The plaintiff claims that apparently, there is a gaseous reaction in the States atmosphere at ground level. To view the gaseous reaction it is necessary to kneel down, and view it eye level with the street pavement, or you may not notice it. I have researched this gaseous reaction for 9-years, and the environment for 22-years, and it is time for this gaseous reaction gets some attention from the government. Last year for example, the entire City of Waterbury was engulfed in this gaseous reaction. This gaseous reaction even travels up buildings exterior walls, and is also on roof tops, so it is a very serious gaseous reaction. I believe based on researching the environment for 22-years that the gaseous reaction is from certain types of landscaping plantings. I have much more testimony to claim, but this is a good summary.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Ave.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382




January 17, 2008

The main argument in this case, and the reason the Claims Commissioner keeps dismissing the claim is because he claims the claim was already heard when filed with judge (CFD). That same complaint filed with judge (CFD), was called incomprehensible by a New York judge. I had too much researching compiled into the complaint for people to understand. Therefore, the State of Connecticut must re-open the claim because judge CFD based his decision from a incomprehensible complaint.

It took me years to understand the courts process, and explain my claim so that it would be comprehensible.


Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Ave.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382



February 15, 2008

The reason the plaintiff is filing a lawsuit, is because there is a problem in the environment. This problem consists of certain criteria, in a few general areas. Which were already discussed. So therefore accordingly it may become necessary that the State of Connecticut change landscaping practices such as for one example, either eliminate or reduce the number of juniperus plantings. The reason being because juniperus is not native to our State forest, therefore the juniperus should be eliminated because it may be causing the environmental problem in question. How the State Connecticut changes landscaping practices can be discussed more at a later time. But that is one example above of what the State of Connecticut may have to do in the future someday.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Ave.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382



March 5, 2008

The plaintiff already explained his defense to getting this claim re-opened, the plaintiff claimed that the court reviewed an incomprehensible complaint that the plaintiff had to much researching compiled into the complaint for people to understand and judge (CFD) made judgement over an incomprehensible complaint. So therefore the State must re-open the claim.

Also, if the plaintiff is correct, that gasses are produced by certain types of landscaping, of which the State does have a gaseous reaction problem that much is fact. But where these gasses are coming from will have to be researched by the State of Connecticut. I strongly believe I am correct that the gasses are from certain types of landscaping. If I am incorrect the State still has the same problem, because the States problem with gasses is fact coming from what is the question.

I strongly believe that the State is going to wake up someday in the future and say there is just to much landscaping and that will be based on biological gasses in the atmosphere at ground level, and to much tree death, effects in the environment that just cannot be explained unless the State takes appropriate action on this case at the present time 2008.

The plaintiff believes the gasses are from certain types of landscaping because most nursery products are from science, and are not native to our States forest, therefore produces gasses which were visually detected 2000-2008.

The State should know the name of each and every potted plant, shrub, tree, etc. planted in our State including types of grasses from lawns before landscaping goes into the future much more if I am correct about the source of the gasses.

Mark S. Ciriello
54 New Haven Ave.
Waterbury, Ct. 06708
(203) 597-8382

