

**Massey, Dean**

---

**From:** Gail and/or Rudy [runwhelk@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 08, 2007 11:58 PM  
**To:** Massey, Dean  
**Subject:** Raised Bill No. 6988

Dear Mr. Massey:

This message is in reference to Raised Bill No. 6988: An Act Updating the Social Security Retirement Age to Reflect Federal Changes.

I spoke with you on the telephone today and am not sure if you are still accepting comments for this bill as heard by the Labor and Public Employees Committee on 2/6/07. If so, my comments follow:

My husband and I are very much in favor of this bill. Many retirees need to wait until full retirement age under Social Security and having

their State pension reduced before receiving Social Security is a financial burden on them. This bill would relieve some of their burden. We are both State of CT retirees and both worked at the Univ. of Conn. in Storrs (my husband for 35+ years and me for 27 years). My husband was born in CT and remained a resident until we moved to Cape Cod in 2001. Our home was in Tolland and I was a CT resident for 38 years. We are both on Social Security, but the bill might apply only to me as I just turned 65 in October 2006, when my State pension was reduced. My full retirement age under Social Security would be 65 and 8 months, but I decided to collect early because of this reduction at age 65.

Thank you for your information on the telephone and for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely, Rudy and Gail Voit

([runwhelk@verizon.net](mailto:runwhelk@verizon.net))