



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 542

January Session, 2007

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 30

House of Representatives, April 19, 2007

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. LAWLOR of the 99th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 20635 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the
3 claim against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred
4 dollars of Maribel Alicea, is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 20091 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
7 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Donald P.
8 Brown, is confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
10 20064 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
11 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Calvin
12 Byrd, is confirmed.

13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 19991 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
15 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Karen
16 Cupe, is confirmed.

17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
18 20270 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
19 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Gregory
20 DeLoach, is confirmed.

21 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
22 20040 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
23 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Curtiss
24 Ebron, is confirmed.

25 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
26 20621 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
27 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Brian
28 David Flores, is confirmed.

29 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 20136 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
31 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Marc
32 Hall, is confirmed.

33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
34 20445 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
35 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Marc
36 Hall, is confirmed.

37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
38 20284 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
39 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Phillip
40 Hightower, is confirmed.

41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
42 20746 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
43 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of John J.

44 Malarney, is confirmed.

45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
46 20122 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
47 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Larry D.
48 McNeil, Jr., is confirmed.

49 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
50 20695 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
51 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of the town
52 of Newington and the Connecticut Interlocal Risk Management
53 Agency, is confirmed.

54 Sec. 14. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
55 20084 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
56 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Valdimar
57 Quieroga, is confirmed.

58 Sec. 15. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
59 20241 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
60 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Eugene
61 Relerford, is confirmed.

62 Sec. 16. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file numbers
63 20608, 20609, 20610 and 20611 of said commissioner, ordering the
64 dismissal of the claims against the state in excess of seven thousand
65 five hundred dollars of Joseph Gartland, Kathleen Gartland, Colleen
66 Ruiz and Steve Ruiz, is confirmed.

67 Sec. 17. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
68 20151 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
69 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David E.
70 Scranton, is confirmed.

71 Sec. 18. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
72 19997 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
73 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Alberto
74 Soto, is confirmed.

75 Sec. 19. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
76 20085 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
77 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Scott D.
78 Swain, is confirmed.

79 Sec. 20. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
80 20032 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
81 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Sala-Thiel
82 Thompson, is confirmed.

83 Sec. 21. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
84 20297 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
85 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jerome
86 Thorpe, is confirmed.

87 Sec. 22. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
88 20678 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
89 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Mayer
90 Weber, is confirmed.

91 Sec. 23. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
92 20228 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
93 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David
94 Wise, is confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 08 \$	FY 09 \$
Comptroller - Adjudicated Claims Account; Various State Agencies	GF - Avoidance of Potential Cost	Significant	Significant

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The resolution accepts the Claims Commissioner’s recommendations to dismiss twenty six claims against the state. The resolution thereby avoids a potential, significant state cost for any judgments that might be rendered against it since claimants are seeking recovery of more than \$55 million in damages in total. It also precludes a workload increase for the Office of the Attorney General and potential significant costs to various state agencies named as defendants in the claims as these agencies would bear any litigation expenses incurred.

The Out Years

There is no fiscal impact in the out years since the resolution puts an end to these matters.

OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 30

***RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS
COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS
AGAINST THE STATE.***

SUMMARY:

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 37 Nay 0 (04/02/2007)