



Senate

General Assembly

File No. 574

February Session, 2006

Substitute Senate Bill No. 531

Senate, April 20, 2006

The Committee on Finance, Revenue and Bonding reported through SEN. DAILY of the 33rd Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING A DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTY TAX REVALUATION FOR REAL PROPERTY.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2006, and applicable to assessment*
2 *years commencing on or after October 1, 2006*) (a) For purposes of this
3 section, "base year" means the year immediately prior to the most
4 recent revaluation.

5 (b) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, any
6 municipal charter, any special act or any home rule ordinance, any
7 municipality that has previously imposed a property tax surcharge
8 pursuant to section 12-62d of the general statutes, revision of 1958,
9 revised to January 1, 2005, may adopt a property tax system that (1)
10 divides real property into classes based on how such property is used,
11 and (2) limits the maximum annual property tax increase to no more
12 than five per cent per year for any class of real property.

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 07 \$	FY 08 \$
Hartford	See Below	See Below	See Below

Explanation

The bill repeals a provision that allows a municipality to provide tax relief to owners of one to three-unit residential properties, funded by a tax surcharge on other properties. To date, the City of Hartford is the only municipality that has implemented this provision.

The bill will allow the City of Hartford to cap in the increase in the assessed value of properties after completing its next scheduled revaluation. Preliminary data suggests that there will be substantial shift in the tax burden from commercial/industrial property, personal property, and motor vehicles to residential property (homes, condominiums, apartments). Therefore, the bill will result in a commercial/industrial, personal property, and motor vehicles to assume a much greater percentage of the tax burden than will under current law.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

OLR Bill Analysis**sSB 531*****AN ACT CONCERNING A DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTY TAX REVALUATION FOR REAL PROPERTY.*****SUMMARY:**

This bill repeals a provision that allows a municipality to provide property tax relief to owners of one to three-unit residential properties, funded by a tax surcharge on other properties. To date, Hartford is the only municipality that has used this provision.

The bill instead allows a municipality that has used this provision to adopt a new property tax system that (1) divides real property into classes based on how it is used and (2) limits the maximum annual property tax increase to no more than 5% per year for any class of real property.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The repeal is effective October 1, 2006. The authorization for the new property tax system is effective July 1, 2006, and applies to assessment years beginning on or after October 1, 2006

DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTY TAX SYSTEM

Under the bill, if a municipality adopts the new system it must revalue all of the real property in the municipality. It must define classes of real property by ordinance. After revaluation, the tax increase on each class of property attributable to revaluation cannot exceed 5% above the tax paid by the class in the year just before revaluation. There may be a tax increase due to revaluation of up to 5% in each subsequent year, but no class of property can have a tax increase due to revaluation greater than 25% over five years.

If a municipality adopts the new system, it may assess different classes of property at different rates rather than assessing all properties

at 70% of market value, so long as no class of property (1) pays a tax increase higher than allowed by the bill and (2) is assessed at more than 70% of its fair market value. The assessment rate may be adjusted annually to reflect increases to the grand list in each class of property.

BACKGROUND

Related Bill

sSB 535, favorably reported by the Planning and Development Committee (File 302) allows (1) municipalities that have not adopted a tax relief plan funded by a surcharge on nonresidential property to phase-in the effects of their property revaluations over up to five years; (2) a municipality to adopt a phase-in that applies only to properties in particular classes whose assessed value increased by 50% or more; and (3) municipalities more options on how to implement a phase-in.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Planning and Development Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Change of Reference
Yea 17 Nay 0 (03/17/2006)

Finance, Revenue and Bonding Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute
Yea 42 Nay 6 (04/04/2006)